

# **AGENDA**

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**December 11-12, 2002**

**San Jose, California**

---

### **Wednesday, December 11, 2002**

**2:00 p.m.**

**Roundtable with the California Transportation Commission  
Hosted by Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority**

Fairmont San Jose Hotel  
California Room  
170 South Market Street  
San Jose, California

**5:30 p.m.**

**Reception Hosted by Santa Clara Valley Transportation  
Authority and Silicon Valley Manufacturing Group**

San Jose Technical Museum of Innovation  
201 South Market Street  
San Jose, California

**7:00 p.m.**

**Commissioners' Dinner**

Emile's  
545 South Second Street  
San Jose, California

### **Thursday, December 12, 2002**

**8:30 a.m.**

**Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)**

Santa Clara County Government Center  
Board of Supervisors Chambers  
70 West Hedding (Corner of Hedding & First Street)  
San Jose, California

	Item Description			Status*
* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.				
	<b><u>GENERAL BUSINESS</u></b>	1.		
	• Roll Call	1.(1)	I	C
	• Approval of Minutes for November 7, 2002 Meeting	1.(2)	A	C
	• Commission Reports	1.(3)	A	C
	-- Election of Officers			
	-- Adoption of Commission Bylaws Resolution G-02-____			
	• Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	1.(4)	A	C
	<b><u>BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY REPORT</u></b>			
	• Report by Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary	1.(5)	I	B
	<b><u>CALTRANS REPORT</u></b>			
	• Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director	1.(6)	I	D
	<b><u>REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT</u></b>			
	• Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	1.(7)	I	R
	• Semi-Annual Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	1.(8)	I	R
	<b><u>INFORMATION CALENDAR</u></b>	IC	I	C
	• To Be Determined			
	<b><u>CONSENT CALENDAR</u></b>	CC	A	C
	• To Be Determined			

	Item Description			Status*
<b><u>PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MATTERS</u></b>				
	• To Be Determined			
<b><u>PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS</u></b>				
		2.		
	<b><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1a.		
	<b><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u></b>	2.1b.		
	• STIP Amendment 02S-039 Proposes to reduce the project limits and revise the scope of work on the Hobsonway Arterial Improvement project (PPNO 0000C) in the City of Blythe to fund unanticipated work on the remaining portion. This is a revenue neutral programming action. The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment.	2.1b.(1)	I	D
	• STIP Amendment 02S-040 Proposes to delete \$595,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from the Old Mammoth Road/Sierra Park Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2511), and to reprogram the \$595,000 in FY 2003-04 to fund the construction cost increase for another Old Mammoth Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2507) in Mono County. This is a revenue neutral action. The Mono County Local Transportation Commission (LTC) is requesting this amendment.	2.1b.(2)	I	D
	• STIP Amendment 02S-041 Proposes to designate the Bryant Street Municipal Railway Facility Seismic Retrofit Project (PPNO 2014H) in the City of San Francisco as a reimbursement project per AB 3090. San Francisco County Local Sales Tax (Proposition B) funds will be used to begin the Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) and Construction components early. PS&E is currently programmed in FY 2005-06 and Construction in FY 2006-07. The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) and San Francisco Municipal Railway (MUNI) are requesting this amendment.	2.1b.(3)	I	D

	Item Description			Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-042 Proposes to designate the Grand Avenue Pedestrian Improvement (Phase II) project (PPNO 3122) in the City of Los Angeles as a reimbursement project per AB 3090. Los Angeles County (County General Funds) will be used to begin construction early. Construction is currently programmed in FY 2005-06. Los Angeles County is requesting this amendment.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(4)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-043 Proposes to delete \$380,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funding programmed in FY 2004-05 for construction for the Philips Avenue Rehabilitation Project (PPNO 3027P) and programs an additional \$140,000 for construction in FY 2002-03 for the Midtown Street Overlay Project (PPNO 3021P) in the City of Clearlake. This fulfills an agreement between Lake County and the Commission (CTC). This is a revenue neutral programming action. The Lake County/City Area Planning Council (APC) is requesting this amendment.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(5)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-044 Proposes to delay \$1,940,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from FY 2002-03 to FY 2003-04 for the Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&amp;E) component of two projects: Culver Drive Southbound Off-Ramp Widening (PPNO 2796); and Oso Parkway Storage Lane and Interchange Improvement (PPNO 2671A). The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) has chosen to implement these components and will need time to initiate consultant services for design work. OCTA is requesting this amendment.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(6)	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-045 Proposes to revise the scope for the Meridian Boulevard Rehabilitation Project (PPNO 2511) in Mono County. The proposed scope will reduce the existing width of roadway, from 64 feet to 45 feet, reduce the number of lanes from four lanes to two lanes plus a center turn lane, and replace the traffic signal with a roundabout at Meridian and Minaret Road. This is a revenue neutral programming action. The Mono County Local Transportation Commission (LTC) is requesting this amendment.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(7)	I	D

	Item Description			Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 02S-046 Proposes to shift \$6,300,000 in PA&amp;ED in FY 2006-07 to PS&amp;E in FY 2006-07 and designate the Route 152/156 Interchange project (PPNO 0070) in the County of Santa Clara as a reimbursement project per AB 3090. Santa Clara local program reserve funds will be used to begin the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&amp;ED) component early. PA&amp;ED is currently programmed in FY 2006-07. The Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) is requesting this amendment</li> </ul>	2.1b.(8)		I D
	<b><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u></b>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. Three applications totaling \$12,672,000. Resolution TA-02-16</li> </ul>	2.1c.(1)		A C/D
	<p>-- Project #27.1 – \$4,170,000 for Phase 3 for Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties - Vasco Road Improvements. Applicant Agency: Alameda County Congestion Management Agency Implementing Agency: Alameda County Public Works Agency</p>			
	<p>-- Project #27.3 – \$980,000 for Phase 4 for Vasco Road Safety and Transit Enhancement Project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties - Valley Center ACE Parking Structure. Applicant Agency: Alameda County Congestion Management Agency Implementing Agency: City of Livermore</p>			
	<p>-- Project #60.1 – \$7,522,000 for Phases 1, 2, and 4 for Route 15; southbound truck climbing lane at two locations in San Bernardino County. Applicant Agency: California Department of Transportation (Department) Implementing Agency: Department</p>			

	Item Description			Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Three application amendments including one amendment for \$14,000,000 in new funding. Resolution TAA-02-13, Amending Resolutions TA-01-11, TA-02-06, and TAA-01-05</li> </ul> <p>-- Project #37.2 – Los Angeles Mid-City Transit Improvements; build Bus Rapid Transit system or Light Rail Transit in Mid-City/Westside/Exposition Corridors in Los Angeles County - Mid-City/Exposition Light Rail Transit Project. Amend application to program an additional \$14,000,000 in TCRP funding for Phase 1 – Environmental and Permits cost and update project schedule and funding plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-02-06. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority is requesting this amendment. (Concurrent Allocation under 2.6e.(1).)</p> <p>-- Project #54.1 – Alameda Corridor East; build grade separations on Burlington Northern-Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railroad lines, downtown Los Angeles to Los Angeles County line in Los Angeles County. Amend application to update project scope and funding plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-11. The Alameda Corridor-East Construction Authority is requesting this amendment.</p> <p>-- Project #145 – New siding in Sun Valley between Sheldon Street and Penrose Street on the Antelope Valley Line. Amend application to redirect funds from Phase 2 – Plans, Specifications, and Estimates to Phase 4 – Construction and extend project completion date. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-00-01, as amended by Resolution TAA-01-05. The Southern California Regional Rail Authority is requesting this amendment.</p>	2.1c.(2)		A C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Informational Report on Delegated Minor Amendments for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects per G-01-23. – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(3)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. One application amendment, de-program \$1,750,000 in funding. Resolution TAA-02-14, Amending Resolution TA-01-15</li> </ul> <p>-- Project #9.3 – Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose, and at Jack London Square and Emeryville stations in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties - Jack London Square Station Track Improvements. Amend application to de-program TCRP funds, and update project description, financial plan, and schedule. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-15. The Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority is requesting this amendment.</p>	2.1c.(4)		A C/D

	Item Description			Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. One application for \$4,675,000. Resolution TA-02-17</li> </ul> <p>-- Project #9.2 – \$4,675,000 for Phase 4 for Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose, and at Jack London Square and Emeryville stations in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties - Emeryville Station Track and Platform Improvements. Applicant Agency: Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA) Implementing Agency: CCJPA</p>	2.1c.(5)		A C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. Two applications totaling \$1,448,000 Resolution TA-02-18</li> </ul> <p>-- Project #74.6 – \$200,000 for Phase 1 for Pacific Surfliner; double track intercity rail line within San Diego County, add maintenance yard in San Diego County - Leucadia Boulevard Grade Separation Project Study Report. Applicant Agency: Department Implementing Agency: San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) (Concurrent Allocation under 2.6e.(2).)</p> <p>-- Project #74.7 – \$1,248,000 for Phase 1 for Pacific Surfliner; double track intercity rail line within San Diego County, add maintenance yard in San Diego County – Encinitas Grade-Separated Pedestrian Crossing(s). Applicant Agency: Department Implementing Agency: City of Encinitas (Concurrent Allocation under 2.6e.(2).)</p>	2.1c.(6)		A C/D
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1d.		
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1e.		

	Item Description			Status*
	<b><u>Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Program Amendment Approvals</u></b>	2.1f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two EEM Program Amendment Approvals Resolution G-02-____, Amending Resolution G-00-19</li> </ul>	2.1f.(1)	A	D
	<p>-- Tuolumne County Land Trust (TCLT) – Change project from Andrew Creek/Table Mountain property to Old Don Pedro/Big Creek Ranch property. Equal environmental value and increase in acreage but less cost per acre, therefore no change in funding amount. The TCLT is requesting this amendment. (Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.5c.(5).)</p>			
	<p>-- County of San Diego (CSD) – Change project from San Dieguito River/Lusardi Creek property to Derbas property. Greater environmental value and no change in EEM funding amount. CSD has increased the match amount to purchase more acreage. CSD is requesting this amendment. (Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.5c.(7).)</p>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One EEM Program Amendment Approval for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Change project from Andrew Creek/Table Mountain property to Old Don Pedro/Big Creek property. Equal Environmental value but less cost per acre, therefore reducing original allocation from \$245,000 to \$129,180. BLM is requesting this amendment. (Concurrent allocation amendment under 2.5c.(6).)</li> </ul> <p>Resolution G-02-____, Amending Resolution G-01-22</p>	2.1f.(2)	A	D
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.2a.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR)</u></b>	2.2b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Route 101 in Santa Barbara County – Construct operational improvements in Santa Barbara</li> </ul>	2.2b.	A	D
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u></b>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 29 in Lake County - Improve intersection near Kelseyville. (ND)</li> </ul> <p>Resolution E-02-56</p>	2.2c.(1)	A	D



	Item Description			Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 101 in Ventura County - Operational improvement near Carpinteria. (ND) Resolution E-02-57</li> </ul>	2.2c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 63 in Tulare County - Widen roadway in Visalia. (FEIR) Resolution E-02-58</li> </ul>	2.2c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of New Public Road Connection– Route 50 in El Dorado County – Construct new interchange near Shingle Springs. (FEIR) Resolution E-02-59</li> </ul>	2.2c.(4)		A D
	<b><u>Highway Route Matters</u></b>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One Route Adoption – Adoption of a Freeway location for Route 120 in Stanislaus County from 0.1 mile west of Valley Home Road to 2.8 miles east of Lancaster Road. 10-STA-120 PM 3.0/R13.3 Resolution HRA 02-08</li> </ul>	2.3a.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One New Public Road Connection – Approval of New Public Road Connection to Route 50 near the Shingle Springs Rancheria at Kilometer Post 18.3 (PM 11.4) in the County of El Dorado. Resolution S-716</li> </ul>	2.3b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One Relinquishment Resolution 08-SBd-30, 210-PM 9.4/9.9 Route 30, 210 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga. Resolution R-3535</li> </ul>	2.3c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vacation Resolutions – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.3d.		
	<b><u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u></b>	2.4		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Four Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances</li> </ul>	2.4a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- James M. Nelson and Rajeswari S. Nelson 03-BUT-99-PM 39.87 Resolution C-18650</li> </ul>	2.4a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Tim J. Burgess 08-RIV-91-KP 34.54 Resolution C-18651</li> </ul>	2.4a.(2)		A D

	Item Description			Status*
	-- Allen Estate Company 10-AMA-49-KP R11.300 Resolution C-18652	2.4a.(3)		A D
	-- John B. Allen, et al. 10-AMA-49-KP R8.00/11.450 Resolution C-18653	2.4a.(4)		A D
	• 71 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-18654 Through C-18724	2.4b.		A D
	• Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations Airspace Lease Amendment – Rio Courtyard, LLC	2.4c.		A D
	• One Director's Deed Item #1 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$686,000 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.		A D
<b><u>Highway Financial Matters</u></b>				
	\$49,139,750 Total State Funds for New Projects			
	\$12,596,548 Contributions from Other Sources for New Projects			
	\$61,736,298 Grand Total – New Projects w/ State Participation			
	• Financial Allocations for 13 Minor Projects totaling \$5,483,250. Resolution FP-02-47	2.5a.		A D
	<b>Financial Allocations for STIP/SHOPP Projects On the State Highway System</b>	2.5b.		A D
	• Financial Allocation for three STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$12,353,000. Resolution FP-02-48	2.5b.(1)		A D
	• Financial Allocation for five SHOPP Projects totaling \$13,752,000. Resolution FP-02-49	2.5b.(2)		A D
	• Financial Allocation for SHOPP Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b>	2.5b.(3)		

	Item Description			Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for two SHOPP Managed TEA Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$719,000. Resolution FP-02-50</li> </ul>	2.5b.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for one Locally Administered STIP Project on the State Highway System in Orange County for \$53,000. Resolution FP-02-51</li> </ul>	2.5b.(5)		A D
	<b>Financial Allocations for Local Assistance STIP Projects On and Off the State Highway System</b>	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for seven Local Assistance STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$9,962,000 plus \$4,982,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-52</li> </ul>	2.5c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for one Local Assistance Statewide Transportation Enhancements (STE) Project in Los Angeles County for \$4,800,000 plus \$623,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-53</li> </ul>	2.5c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for six Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) projects totaling \$1,334,000 plus \$1,936,843 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-54</li> </ul>	2.5c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for three Local Assistance STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$185,000 plus \$1,662,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-55</li> </ul>	2.5c.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Amendment for one Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) project for \$248,500 for Tuolumne County Land Trust plus \$6,000 from other sources. (See Concurrent Program Amendment under 2.1f.(1).) Resolution FP-02-56, Amending Resolution FP-00-11</li> </ul>	2.5c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Amendment for one Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) project for \$129,180 for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management plus \$15,820 from other sources. (See Concurrent Program Amendment under 2.1f.(2).) Resolution FP-02-57, Amending Resolution FP-01-93</li> </ul>	2.5c.(6)		A D

	Item Description			Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Amendment for one Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) project for \$250,000 for San Diego County plus \$3,050,000 from other sources. (See Concurrent Program Amendment under 2.1f.(1).) Resolution FP-02-58, Amending Resolution FP-00-83</li> </ul>	2.5c.(7)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of Their Programmed Amount – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5e.		
	<b>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</b>	2.5f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. Six projects totaling \$6,940,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Phase I): G-11 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192, Phase II): G-11 Allocations. – One project in Humboldt County for \$35,435,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(3)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on SHOPP Rehabilitation/Safety: G-01-10 Allocations. Four projects totaling \$7,261,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(4)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-01-04 Allocations. Six projects totaling \$2,027,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(5)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Project Development Components of Local Grant Projects: G-01-03 Allocations. Four projects totaling \$1,593,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(6)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Rideshare Projects in the STIP: G-01-07 Allocations. One project totaling \$1,155,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(7)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocations for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve Projects: G-01-05 Allocations. Four projects totaling \$46,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(8)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Planning, Programming and Monitoring Activities: G-01-06 Allocations. Six projects totaling \$1,476,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(9)		I D

	Item Description			Status*
<b><u>Financial Allocation Adjustment for STIP Project On the State Highway System</u></b>				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Adjustment (AB608) for one STIP Project On the State Highway System on Route 56 in San Diego County for \$36,870,000.</li> </ul>	2.5g.		A D
	FA-02-04			
<b><u>Mass Transportation Financial Matters</u></b>				
	\$18,736,000 Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)			
	\$18,045,000 Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP)			
	\$ 475,000 Total Proposition 116 Program Funds			
	\$ (0) Total Allocation Amendments			
	\$37,256,000 Grand Total State Allocations			
	<b>Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit Projects</b>	2.6a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for two Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects totaling \$16,023,000.</li> </ul>	2.6a.(1)		A D
	Resolution MFP-02-19			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Advancement for one Local STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$62,000 in Mono County.</li> </ul>	2.6a.(2)		A D
	Resolution MFP-02-20			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for one STIP Intercity Rail Project for the Department of Transportation in Orange County for \$1,960,000.</li> </ul>	2.6a.(3)		A D
	Resolution MFP-02-21			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Project – Sorrento to Miramar second Track and Curve Realignment - Right of Way support for second track and curve realignment PPNO 8201; EA R5006A in San Diego County for \$475,000.</li> </ul>	2.6b.		A D
	Resolution BFP-02-03			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposition 116 Allocation Amendments – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.6c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.6d.		

	Item Description			Status*
	<b>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations</b>	2.6e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations. One project allocation for \$14,000,000. Resolution TFP-02-08</li> </ul>	2.6e.(1)	<b>A</b>	<b>C/D</b>
	<p>-- \$14,000,000 – Project #37.2, Los Angeles Mid-City Transit Improvements; build Bus Rapid Transit system or Light Rail Transit in Mid-City/Westside/Exposition Corridors in Los Angeles County - Mid-City/Exposition Light Rail Transit Project. Implementing Agency: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Concurrent Application Amendment under 2.1c.(2).)</p>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations. Three project allocations totaling \$4,736,000. Resolution TFP-02-09</li> </ul>	2.6e.(2)	<b>A</b>	<b>D</b>
	<p>-- \$3,288,000 – Project #74.5, Phase 4 for Pacific Surfliner; double track intercity rail line within San Diego County, add maintenance yard in San Diego County - Encinitas Passing Track. Implementing Agency: San Diego North County Transit District</p>			
	<p>-- \$200,000 – Project #74.6, Phase 1 for Pacific Surfliner; double track intercity rail line within San Diego County, add maintenance yard in San Diego County - Leucadia Boulevard Grade Separation Project Study Report Implementing Agency: San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) (Concurrent Application Approval under 2.1c.(6).)</p>			
	<p>-- \$1,248,000 – Project #74.7, Phase 1 for Pacific Surfliner; double track intercity rail line within San Diego County, add maintenance yard in San Diego County – Encinitas Grade-Separated Pedestrian Crossing(s). Implementing Agency: City of Encinitas (Concurrent Application Approval under 2.1c.(6).)</p>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-02-10. One project allocation for \$525,000.</li> </ul>	2.6f.	<b>I</b>	<b>C/D</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval January to June 2003 Six-Month Delegated Allocations List for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program / State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Projects, per Resolution G-01-27. Resolution G-02-____</li> </ul>	2.6g.	<b>A</b>	<b>C/D</b>

	Item Description			Status*
	<b><u>Aeronautics Financial Matters – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.7		
	<b><u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-01-21, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u></b>	2.8		
	<b><u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8a.		
	<b><u>Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award</u></b>	2.8b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award 15 months, to September 30, 2003, for the Glass Beach Acquisition (TEA Conservation Lands) project totaling \$2.5 million. The funds were allocated in May 2000, and should have been encumbered by June 30, 2002; however, the project encountered a delay during the environmental clearance process. Waiver-02-45</li> </ul>	2.8b.		A D
	<b><u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8c.		
	<b><u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8d.		
	<b><u>Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring, and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.8e.		
	<b><u>Request for Waiver to AB 1012 "Use It or Lose It" Mandated Obligation Deadline Provisions for Regional Agency Apportioned Federal Funds -</u></b>	2.8f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Twelve-month extension requests for four regions for amounts totaling \$1,830,306 in CMAQ funds; \$1,062,778 in RSTP funds; and \$604,719 in Regional TEA funds. Waiver-02-46</li> </ul>	2.8f.		A D
	<b><u>Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions</u></b>	2.9		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resolution FP-01-100, originally approved on June 13, 2002 in the amount of \$137,000 for one Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project Funds project. Revise project allocation from State Only Funding to a state/federal split of \$16,000 in State funds and \$121,000 in Federal funds.</li> </ul>	2.9		A D

	Item Description			Status*
	<b><u>PROGRAM STATUS</u></b>	3.		
	• Caltrans Monthly FY 2002-03 Finance Report and 24-Month Cash Forecast for All Accounts	3.1	I	D
	• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded	3.2	I	D
	• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action	3.3	I	D
	• Caltrans FY 2002-03 1st Quarter Delivery Report	3.4	I	D
	• Intercity Rail FY 2002-03 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter Operations Report	3.5	I	D
	• Third Cycle AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA "Use It Or Lose It" Balance Report	3.6	I	D
	• Local Assistance Quarterly Lump Sum Allocation Status Report for 2002-03 Fiscal Year	3.7	I	D
	<b><u>POLICY MATTERS</u></b>	4.		
	• State Legislative Matters *	4.1	A	C
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Chief Deputy Director Joan Borucki at (916) 653-3148.			
	• Workshop on the Mobility Element of the 2002 Ten-Year State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP) Plan	4.2	I	D
	• Adoption of Commission's 2002 Annual Report to Legislature	4.3	A	C
	• Report by Airspace Advisory Committee Chair on Accomplishments	4.4	A	C



	Item Description			Status*
	• 2002 Annual Airspace Report	4.5		I D
	• Transportation Finance Bank Revolving Loan Program and Guidelines, Application and Agreement Package	4.6		I D
	• Six-Month Estimate of Loan Capacity Under AB1012 Approval of Funding Level Resolution G-02-____	4.7		A D
	• Amend the Capital Improvement Plan Element of the California Aviation System Plan for two airports: Dunsmuir Municipal and Gansner Field	4.8		A D
	• Technical Advisory Committee on Aeronautics Report on Aviation Issues	4.9		A C
	• Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program Reform	4.10		A C
	<b><u>MODAL ISSUES</u></b>	5.		
	<b>Rail – <u>No Items This Month</u></b>	5.1		
	<b>Highways</b>	5.2		
	• Status Report on Monterey Route 101 Prunedale Bypass	5.2		A D
	<b>Transit – <u>No Items This Month</u></b>	5.3		
	<b><u>PRESENTATIONS</u></b>			
	• To Be Determined			
	<b><u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u></b>	6.		
	• Nancy Jewell Cross	6.1		